UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/594,833	08/20/2007	Hayat Nicholas Haddad	DAVI286.001APC	8378
	7590	EXAMINER		
2040 MAIN STREET			ARROYO, CESAR D	
FOURTEENTH FLOOR IRVINE, CA 92614			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			4117	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			02/04/2009	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

jcartee@kmob.com eOAPilot@kmob.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/594,833	HADDAD, HAYAT NICHOLAS			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	CESAR ARROYO	4117			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	lely filed the mailing date of this communication. (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>9/28/2</u> This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under <i>E</i>	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro				
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 1-21 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 20 and 21 is/are without 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-19 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or are subject to restriction and/or are subjected to by the Examine 10) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on 28 September 2006 is/a Applicant may not request that any objection to the concept that any objection to the concept that are subjected to by the Examine 10 The drawing sheet(s) including the correction 10 The specification is objected to by the Examine 10 The drawing sheet(s) including the correction 10 The specification is objected to by the Examine 10 The drawing sheet(s) including the correction 10 The specification is objected to by the Examine 10 The drawing sheet(s) including the correction 10 The specification is objected to by the Examine 10 The drawing sheet(s) including the correction 10 The specification is objected to by the Examine 10 The drawing sheet(s) including the correction 10 The specification is objected to by the Examine 10 The drawing sheet(s) including the correction 10 The specification 10 The specificati	r election requirement. r. tre: a) accepted or b) objected or by	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 12/26/2006.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ite			

DETAILED ACTION

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-7 and 16-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Olazabal, U.S. 4,281,761.

In regards to <u>claim 1</u>, Olazabal, Figs. 6, discloses a case for cigarette packets comprising:

-a lid (84), a first portion being shaped to receive and seat therein a lid portion of the cigarette packet (see column 7, lines 48-54).

-a hollow receptacle (82), that is closed on one end, being shaped to receive and seat therein a body portion of the cigarette packet (see column 7, lines 55-57).

In regards to <u>claim 2</u>, Olazabal, Figs. 6, discloses a second portion of the lid is shaped for insertion into the receptacle to there by couple the lid to the receptacle (see column 7, lines 57-68).

In regards to <u>claim 3</u>, Olazabal, Figs. 6, discloses a said first portion of the lid (90) is coupled to the second portion of the lid (88) by a hinge (see column 7, lines 46-50).

seated therein (see column 7, lines 47-54).

In regards to claim 4, Olazabal, Figs. 6, discloses the first portion of the lid resiliently engages the lid of the cigarette packet when the lid of the cigarette packet is

Page 3

In regards to <u>claim 5</u>, Olazabal, discloses the lid being constructed of plastic, metallic, or any other suitable material including silicone rubber material (see column 4, lines 22-25).

In regards to <u>claim 6</u>, Olazabal, Figs. 6, discloses a cap (86) shaped to receive and secure the first portion of the lid.

In regards to <u>claim 7</u>, Olazabal, Figs. 6, discloses the case being constructed of plastic, metallic, or any other suitable material including silicone rubber material (see column 4, lines 22-25).

In regards to <u>claim 16</u>, Olazabal, Figs. 6, discloses a cover for a lid of a cigarette packet comprising:

-a lid (84), a first portion being shaped to receive and seat therein a lid portion of the cigarette packet (see column 7, lines 48-54), and a second portion (88) for coupling the cover to a body portion of the cigarette packet.

In regards to <u>claim 17</u>, Olazabal, Figs. 6, discloses a second portion of the lid is shaped for insertion into the receptacle to there by couple the lid to the receptacle (see column 7, lines 35-54).

In regards to <u>claim 18</u>, Olazabal, Figs. 6, discloses a said first portion of the lid (90) is coupled to the second portion of the lid (88) by a hinge (see column 7, lines 46-50).

Claim 9 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Schade, U.S. 4,190,148.

In regards to <u>claim 9</u>, Schade, Figs. 1, discloses a case for cigarette packets comprising:

-a lid (18), being arrangable to extend over and cover an open end of a receptacle so as to secure a cigarette packet stored therein,

-a hollow receptacle (11), that is closed on one end, the receptacle being shaped to receive and seat therein a body portion of the cigarette packet.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 8 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Olazabal, U.S. 4,281,761 as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Bernhard, U.S. 2004/0251148.

Olazabal teaches the limitations of claim 1 above, but he does not teach the lid of the case including a flange that is adapted to secure the lid portion of the cigarette packet in a position seated within the lid of the case. Bernhard, Fig. 1, teaches a container for cigarettes in which the lid has a flange (20) for securing the lid of the cigarette packet. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art, at

the time of invention, to have provided the lid as taught by Olazabal, with the flange as taught by Bernhard, to provide a case, for cigarette packets with flip tops, that has a lid that when opened can not only open the case for access to the cigarette packet, but to open the cigarette packet as well and allow the user to pull a single or multiple, if sharing, cigarettes out.

Page 5

Claims 10, 11, 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Schade, U.S. 4,190,148 as applied to claim 9 above, and further in view of Golde, U.S. 5,884,338.

Schade teaches the limitations of claim 9, above, but he does not teach there being a wallet coupled to a side of the case, the wallet being coupled by way of zipper, and the wallet having a plurality of pockets. Golde, Fig. 1, teaches a pocket system connected via zipper to whatever item it is connected to comprising a plurality of pockets that are shaped and capable of receiving money or cards. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art, at the time of invention, to have provided the case as taught by Schade, with the pocket system attached as taught by Golde, as to provide a cigarette case that has multiple functionality to it and allowing the use to carry less items in jean/pant pockets.

Claim 13 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Schade, U.S. 4,190,148 as applied to claim 9 above.

Schade further discloses the case including a pocket (15) that is coupled to a side of the case, the pocket being suitable for storing a cigarette lighter.

Application/Control Number: 10/594,833 Page 6

Art Unit: 4117

Claims 14 and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Schade, U.S. 4,190,148 as applied to claim 9 above.

Schade further discloses the case and lid being made of a suitable material such as molded hard plastic, metal, or other substances (see column 1, lines 40-46).

Claim 19 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Olazabal, U.S. 4,281,761 as applied to claim 16 above, and further in view of Bernhard, U.S. 2004/0251148.

Olazabal teaches the limitations of claim 16 above, but he does not teach the lid of the case including a flange that is adapted to secure the lid portion of the cigarette packet in a position seated within the lid of the case. Bernhard, Fig. 1, teaches a container for cigarettes in which the lid has a flange (20) for securing the lid of the cigarette packet. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art, at the time of invention, to have provided the lid as taught by Olazabal, with the flange as taught by Bernhard, to provide a case, for cigarette packets with flip tops, that has a lid that when opened can not only open the case for access to the cigarette packet, but to open the cigarette packet as well and allow the user to pull a single or multiple, if sharing, cigarettes out.

Application/Control Number: 10/594,833 Page 7

Art Unit: 4117

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to CESAR ARROYO whose telephone number is (571)270-7817. The examiner can normally be reached on 0730-1700 Monday-Thursday, 0730-1600 Friday, Everyother Friday off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Naeem Haq can be reached on 571-272-6758. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/C. A./ Examiner, Art Unit 4117

> /Naeem Haq/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 4117

January 30, 2009